

Discussion of Bowman, Huh, and Infante's “Balance-Sheet Netting in U.S. Treasury Markets and Central Clearing”

Tom King
FRB Chicago*

System Committee on Financial Institutions, Regulation, and Markets

26 September 2024

*The views expressed here do not represent official positions of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago or the Federal Reserve System.

Background

- Regulators and observers have suggested that central clearing of Treasury positions might enhance resilience.
 - E.g., could have helped with market dislocations in March 2020.
 - SEC clearing mandate in 2025 for cash transactions; 2026 for repo.
- One key mechanism: **multilateral netting**.
 - Large dealers are subject to leverage requirements that may bind when Treasury and repo volumes are high.
 - In principle, central clearing can allow assets and liabilities to be netted down.

What is the issue?

- When a dealer buys a security, there is a one-day settlement delay.
- During that time, the dealer is effectively funding a security (asset) with a cash payable (liability) → **Leverage increases**.
 - Dealers that are subsidiaries of large BHCs are subject to leverage requirements on the total value of assets (SLR).
- In principle, a CCP can reduce the asset side of this computation because payables can be netted against receivables.
- Similar logic for repo positions.
 - Borrowing cash in repo expands assets and matters for SLR; would be nice to net against reverse repo.

Netting

- Cash transactions:
 - Dealers may already net cash receivables against payables for sales and purchases of securities, regardless of counterparty.
 - Consequently – *central clearing makes essentially no difference for capital requirements.*
- Repo:
 - Can only net repo and reverse repo with same counterparties and same maturities.
 - This makes things more complicated...

Repo netting

Why netting effects of cleared repo may be limited...

- GSIBs already try to net as much as possible:
 - Some trades are already centrally cleared.
 - Positions with affiliates and, in some cases, other counterparties are *already* effectively netted.
 - Regressions show dealers actively adjust netted positions.
- Even with clearing, cannot net “open” positions.
- Borrowing and lending maturities may not match.
- GSIBs have net lending positions that have nothing to net against.

Repo data

- Link three data sources for GSIBs:
 - Transactions data from OFR for FICC-cleared trades
 - Transaction data from BONY for tri-party
 - BHC-level data from 5G reports—allows to impute non-cleared bilateral
- Nice data - may be useful for other questions.
- Also rely on OFR 2022 pilot study on NCCB repo transactions.

Authors calculate only 6% of repo trades would benefit from netting under central clearing!

- (Cf. Baklanova et al., 2017?)

Some thoughts...

- What about stress periods?
 - Most of authors' calculations use data from a fairly quiescent period.
 - 2022Q2 or average since 2020.
 - Clearing benefits may be larger during periods of acute stress—and this is when capital relief may be most systemically beneficial.
 - Fleming et al. (2021) conclude that clearing could have produced significant benefits in March 2020.
 - \$800 billion in potentially nettable positions, mostly at eSLR dealers.

Some thoughts...

- These are counterfactual, *ceteris paribus* results.
 - Under a mandatory clearing regime, dealers might expand into activity that could not otherwise have been netted.
 - Authors' regression results show they indeed try to do this!
- Also potential changes in market structure:
 - Smaller dealers could benefit more from central clearing.
 - (Liang and Parkinson, 2020)
 - Expanded clearing might facilitate all-to-all trading.
 - (Duffie, 2020)

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- Reduced counterparty risk
- Multilateral netting
- Margin standardization
- Data/transparency
- Changes in market structure
- Reduced fails and firesales

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
- Procyclical liquidity risk

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- Multilateral netting
- Margin standardization
- Data/transparency
- Changes in market structure
- Reduced fails and firesales

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
- Procyclical liquidity risk

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
- Margin standardization
- Data/transparency
- Changes in market structure
- Reduced fails and firesales

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
- Procyclical liquidity risk

(Thanks to Bowman, Huh, and Infante.)

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
 - Margin standardization
- Data/transparency
- Changes in market structure
- Reduced fails and firesales

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
- Procyclical liquidity risk

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
 - Margin standardization
 - Data/transparency
- Changes in market structure
- Reduced fails and firesales

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
- Procyclical liquidity risk

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
 - Margin standardization
 - Data/transparency
 - Changes in market structure (?)
- Reduced fails and firesales

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
- Procyclical liquidity risk

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
 - Margin standardization
 - Data/transparency
 - Changes in market structure (?)
 - Reduced fails and firesales (?)

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
- Procyclical liquidity risk

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
 - Margin standardization
 - Data/transparency
 - Changes in market structure (?)
 - Reduced fails and firesales (?)

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
 - (End of the world.)
- Procyclical liquidity risk

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
 - Margin standardization
 - Data/transparency
 - Changes in market structure (?)
 - Reduced fails and firesales (?)

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
 - (End of the world.)
- Procyclical liquidity risk
 - (King et al., 2023)

Treasury / repo clearing: benefits vs. costs

Benefits

- ~~Reduced counterparty risk~~
- ~~Multilateral netting~~
 - Margin standardization
 - Data/transparency
 - Changes in market structure (?)
 - Reduced fails and firesales (?)

Costs

- Possible CCP failure
 - (End of the world.)
- Procyclical liquidity risk
 - (King et al., 2023)

... Not clear this is a good idea – more work needed!